

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS JOSEPH A. CURTATONE, MAYOR

MEMBERS

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE FILLIS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) JOSH SAFDIE (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2008-63 Site: 9 Belmont Square

Date of Decision: December 17, 2008

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u>

Date Filed with City Clerk: December 31, 2008

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Moses Blumenstiel

Applicant Address: 9 Belmont Square, Somerville, MA 02143

Property Owner Name: Moses Blumenstiel

Property Owner Address: 9 Belmont Square, Somerville, MA 02143

Agent Name: N/A

Legal Notice: Applicant & Owner: Moses Blumenstiel seeks a special permit (SZO

§4.4.1) to alter a nonconforming dwelling by adding a window and

door, RB zone, Ward 3.

Zoning District/Ward: RB zone/Ward 3

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

Date of Application:

Date(s) of Public Hearing:

Date of Decision:

November 19, 2008

December 17, 2008

December 17, 2008

Vote: 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2008-63was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on December 17, 2008. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing(s) of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.

DESCRIPTION:

The proposal is to add a window on the right side of the house near the front on the third floor. The window would align with the existing windows on the third floor and would have trim that matched that of the other windows. The proposal also includes adding a sliding glass door to the back side of the house which would open onto the third floor porch.

Date: December 30, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-63 Site: 9 Belmont Square

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, the Board finds that the alterations proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. The window would be consistent with the design of the house; it would align with the existing windows and its trim would match that on the house. The door proposed in the rear is commonly found on similar houses to access rear porches.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance as set forth under §1.2, which includes, but is not limited to providing adequate light and air. The proposal is consistent with the purpose of the district, which in part is to preserve three-family homes.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The proposal is designed in a manner that is compatible with the area as specified in finding two, compliance with standards.

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Danielle Fillis and Elaine Severino. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Richard Rossetti seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

Date: December 30, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-63 Site: 9 Belmont Square

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the addition of a window and door to the dwelling. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	Plng.	
1	Stamp Date	Submission			
	Nov 5, 2008	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	Nov 19, 2008	Plans submitted to OSPCD (1-3 rd floor existing, 2-proposed 3 rd floor, 3-elevations)			
	Any changes to the approved elevations that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive ZBA approval.				
2	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five		Final sign	Plng.	
	working days in advance of a request for a final sign-off on		off		
	the building permit to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and				
	the conditions attached to this approval.				

Date: December 30, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-63 Site: 9 Belmont Square

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Herbert Foster, <i>Chairman</i> Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Clerk</i> Richard Rossetti Danielle Fillis Elaine Severino (Alt.)
Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals Administrative Assist	tant: Dawn M. Pereira
Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty day City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40	
In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance sh certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed a Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indo of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of	after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is dexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner
Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special pearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and ind of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certifical appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reunder the permit may be ordered undone.	s have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is lexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner ate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly
The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or re Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed wi and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to recorded.	ith any project favorably decided upon by this decision,
This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the any appeals that were filed have been finally dismis	e City Clerk, or
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the there has been an appeal filed.	e City Clerk, or

<u>City Clerk</u> Date